

Effective action for Dirac spinors in the presence of general uniform electromagnetic fields

Roberto Soldati ^{a,1}, Lorenzo Sorbo ^{b,2}

^a*Dipartimento di Fisica "A. Righi"*
via Irnerio 46 – 40126 Bologna, Italia

^b*S.I.S.S.A.*
via Beirut 2-4 – 34013 Trieste, Italia

Abstract

Some new expressions are found, concerning the one-loop effective action of four dimensional massive and massless Dirac fermions in the presence of general uniform electric and magnetic fields, with $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{H} \neq 0$ and $\vec{E}^2 \neq \vec{H}^2$. The rate of pair-production is computed and briefly discussed.

Non-renormalizable effective field theories turn out to be quite useful in the description of physics below some specific momentum scale. One of the early known examples is provided, taking one-loop corrections into account, by the (nonlinear) effective lagrangean for the electromagnetic field in the presence of virtual fermions, which enables to describe light-light scattering at low momenta of the order of the electron mass. As it is well known, the use of path-integral techniques allows to obtain the correction to the classical action in terms of the evaluation of the determinant of the Dirac operator. The first efforts in this direction date back to Euler and Heisenberg [1], who worked out an implicit expression of the effective Lagrange function for Maxwell theory in the context of electron-hole theory. Later on, Schwinger [2] derived a gauge-invariant integral representation of the effective lagrangean by means of the so-called "proper-time" technique. Then, during the next three-four decades, no step was made towards an explicit expression of an effective theory of electromagnetism. The introduction of Hawking's ζ -function technique [3] renewed the interest in the subject and some further progress in this direction was put forward: Blau, Visser and Wipf [4] obtained an analytic form

¹ E-mail address: soldati@bo.infn.it

² E-mail address: sorbo@sissa.it

for the effective action of a uniform electromagnetic field in any number of space-time dimensions, both for massive and for massless fermions.

Unfortunately, none of these expressions is fully satisfactory: on the one hand, Schwinger's one, besides being implicit, is not valid in a theory with massless fermions. On the other hand, the expressions given in ref.[4] are explicit and valid also for massless Fermi particles, but they are established only for some particular configurations of the uniform electric and magnetic fields. In this paper a completion will be given to the work of Blau *et al.*, in order to obtain a general and explicit expression for the effective lagrangean of massive and massless QED in the presence of uniform general electromagnetic fields.

The use of the path-integral method forces us to work in the euclidean framework: only at the very end of our calculations we shall operate a Wick rotation to Minkowski space-time. The effective euclidean action, in the one-loop approximation, is given by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{Eff}}^{\text{E}}[A_\mu] = \mathcal{S}_{\text{Cl}}^{\text{E}}[A_\mu] - \log \text{Det}(\mathcal{D}[A_\mu] + im) \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Cl}}^{\text{E}}[A_\mu]$ is the classical euclidean action $\int d^4x F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}/4$ and $\mathcal{D}[A_\mu] \equiv \gamma_\mu(\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu)$ is the euclidean Dirac operator, m being the fermion mass. As the Dirac operator is normal ($[\mathcal{D} + im, \mathcal{D}^\dagger - im] = 0$), we have

$$|\text{Det}(\mathcal{D} + im)|^2 = \text{Det}(\mathcal{D}^\dagger \mathcal{D} + m^2) \quad (2)$$

and consequently the effective lagrangean is defined (by means of the ζ -function regularization) to be

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{Eff}}^{\text{E}}[A_\mu] = \mathcal{S}_{\text{Cl}}^{\text{E}}[A_\mu] - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \zeta(s; [A_\mu]) \Big|_{s=0} \quad (3)$$

Since the square of the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}^\dagger \mathcal{D} = (\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu)^2 - eF_{\mu\nu} \Sigma_{\mu\nu}/2$ is elliptic, its determinant can be evaluated by means of the ζ -function regularization (the matrices $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$ are defined as $\Sigma_{\mu\nu} \equiv i[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]/2$): to perform this evaluation, it is necessary to obtain the spectrum of the operator, which can be explicitly calculated in the case of uniform fields. In this particular situation, a frame can always be chosen such that $F_{03} = -F_{30} = E$, $F_{12} = -F_{21} = B$, all the other components of the field-strength tensor vanishing: the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{D}^\dagger \mathcal{D}$ turns out to be of the form

$$\lambda_{n_E, n_B} = 2|eE|n_E + 2|eB|n_B \quad (4)$$

$$(n_E, n_B = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

(see, for instance, [5]).

The first fact we can notice is that E and B play a symmetric role in euclidean space (this will not be the case in Minkowski space-time). Taking into account the degeneracy of each eigenvalue (which can be obtained by Landau levels counting), we get, for the ζ -function, the following implicit expression: namely,

$$\zeta(s) = (vol)_4 \frac{e^2 |EB|}{4\pi^2} \left[4 \sum_{n_E=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n_B=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2|eE|n_E + 2|eB|n_B + m^2}{\mu^2} \right)^{-s} + \left(\frac{m^2}{\mu^2} \right)^{-s} + 2 \sum_{n_E=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2|eE|n_E + m^2}{\mu^2} \right)^{-s} + 2 \sum_{n_B=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2|eB|n_B + m^2}{\mu^2} \right)^{-s} \right] \quad (5)$$

where $(vol)_4$ is the (regularized) volume of the four-dimensional euclidean space and μ is a normalization parameter with dimensions of a mass. The ζ -function defined in eq.(5) is singular in the massless limit, owing to the presence of zero-modes for the squared massless Dirac operator $\not{D}^\dagger \not{D}$; this limit will be further examined below.

The spectrum of the squared Dirac operator turns out to be quite different when either E or B vanish. Taking, for instance, $B = 0$, the spectrum will be of the form

$$\lambda_{n_E, p_1, p_2} = 2|eE|n_E + p_1^2 + p_2^2 \quad (6)$$

($p_1, p_2 \in R, n_E = 0, 1, 2, \dots$)

Obviously, if $B = 0$ the ζ -function will have a different expression with respect to eq.(5). Here we are not interested in this expression, because the ζ -function, in the case of vanishing electric – or magnetic – field, may be also calculated exploiting the (well known) asymptotic behavior of the heat kernel, as is done in ref. [4]. There, the authors worked out explicitly the effective action (both in theories with $m \neq 0$ and $m = 0$) in the case of vanishing E (or B) and also, from expression (5), in the case $|E| = |B|$. In what follows, we will obtain an asymptotic expansion of the ζ -function in powers of $|E/B|$.

In order to simplify the notation, we define the dimensionless quantities $a \equiv |eE|/\mu^2$, $b \equiv |eB|/\mu^2$ and $c \equiv m^2/\mu^2$: the ζ -function may be cast in integral form as

$$\zeta(s; a, b; c) = \mu^4 \frac{(vol)_4}{4\pi^2} \frac{ab}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty dt \, t^{s-1} e^{-ct} \left[2 \frac{e^{-2at} + e^{-2bt}}{(1 - e^{-2at})(1 - e^{-2bt})} + 1 \right] \quad (7)$$

One of the main difficulties in the analysis of the ζ -function for the four-dimensional Dirac theory comes from the fact that it is a function of the two arguments a and b (for some fixed values of the parameters s and c).

This difficulty can be overcome by noticing that the ζ -function (7) obeys the scaling property

$$\zeta(s; a, b; c) = a^{2-s} \zeta\left(s; 1, \frac{b}{a}; \frac{c}{a}\right) \quad (8)$$

so that, in order to find the general expression of the effective action, it is sufficient to study the function of the single ratio $b/a \equiv y$

$$g(s; y, z) \equiv \frac{y}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty dt \, t^{s-1} e^{-zt} \left[2 \frac{e^{-2t} + e^{-2yt}}{(1 - e^{-2t})(1 - e^{-2yt})} + 1 \right] \quad (9)$$

where $z \equiv c/a$. The explicit formulae reported by Blau *et al.* are valid for $E = 0$ and $|E| = |B|$, namely $y = 0$ and $y = 1$. The expansion around $y = 1$ can be performed quite easily, and is left to the interested reader. We aim now to investigate the behavior of $g(s; y, z)$ when $y \simeq 0$: after some simple manipulations we can rewrite the function $g(s; y, z)$ as

$$g(s; y, z) = \frac{2^{-s} y}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty dt \, t^{s-1} e^{-\frac{z}{2}t} \frac{1 + e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}} \coth\left(\frac{yt}{2}\right) \quad (10)$$

In order to expand the function $\coth(yt/2)$ in a power series of $yt/2$, it is necessary to cut the integral in eq.(10) at the upper end: we restrict the integration domain to the interval $[0, y^{-\alpha}]$ (where $0 < \alpha < 1$). With such a choice, in the limit $y \rightarrow 0$ the domain of the t variable extends to the whole set of the real positive numbers. On the other hand, the quantity $yt/2 < y^{1-\alpha}/2$ approaches zero in this limit, so that we can expand $\coth(yt/2)$ in power series of its argument. We must only assure ourselves that the error made when cutting the upper integration limit does itself approach zero when $y \rightarrow 0$: it can be shown that this is what really happens, since

$$\frac{y}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{y^{-\alpha}}^\infty dt \, t^{s-1} e^{-\frac{z}{2}t} \frac{1 + e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}} \coth\left(\frac{yt}{2}\right) < e^{-\frac{z}{2}y^{-\alpha}} f_1(y) \quad (11)$$

where $f_1(y)$ diverges at most as a power of $1/y$ when $y \rightarrow 0$ so that, as long as $z \neq 0$, the error made by cutting the integral is exponentially small when $y \rightarrow 0$.

Now we can safely expand the function $g(s; y, z)$ in power series of y : the estimate (10) allows us to write

$$g(s; y, z) = \frac{2^{1-s}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{y^{-\alpha}} dt \, t^{s-2} e^{-\frac{z}{2}t} \frac{1+e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} (yt)^{2k} \right] + O(y^{2N+2}) + e^{-\frac{z}{2}y^{-\alpha}} f_1(y) \quad (12)$$

where B_{2k} is the $2k$ -th Bernoulli number. This expression, in the limit $y \rightarrow 0$, yields an asymptotic series in y , whose coefficients can be all explicitly computed: namely,

$$g(s; y, z) = \frac{2^{1-s}}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} y^{2k} \Gamma(s-1+2k) \cdot \left[2\zeta_H\left(s-1+2k; 1+\frac{z}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{1-2k-s} \right] + O(y^{2N+2}) \quad (13)$$

$\zeta_H(a; x) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+x)^{-a}$ being the Hurwitz ζ -function. Exploiting the scaling property (8), the effective euclidean lagrangean of QED in the presence of uniform fields can be easily calculated as a power series of $|B/E|$ (or $|E/B|$, owing to the symmetry between the electric and magnetic field in the euclidean space).

The massless limit unravels a quite different behavior: this fact is a consequence of the explicit exclusion of the zero-modes from the ζ -function (5), whose integral representation will be, in this case, of the form

$$\zeta(s; a, b) = \mu^4 \frac{(vol)_4}{4\pi^2} 2 \frac{ab}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} dt \, t^{s-1} \frac{e^{-2at} + e^{-2bt}}{(1-e^{-2at})(1-e^{-2bt})} \quad (14)$$

The scaling property $\zeta(s; a, b) = a^{2-s} \zeta(s; 1, b/a)$ allows us to extract all the information we need by the analysis of a function of only one variable, namely

$$g(s; y) = \frac{2^{1-s}y}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} dt \, t^{s-1} \frac{e^{-t} + e^{-yt}}{(1-e^{-t})(1-e^{-yt})} \quad (15)$$

Now we would like to proceed as in the massive ($z \neq 0$) theory, but it is apparent from the estimate (11) that, for vanishing z , the error made by cutting the integral at $y^{-\alpha}$ at the upper end is not negligible in the limit of small y . Yet, it can be easily verified that

$$g(s; y) - (2y)^{1-s} \zeta_R(s) = \frac{2^{1-s}y}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} dt \, t^{s-1} \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} \coth\left(\frac{yt}{2}\right) \quad (16)$$

where $\zeta_R(a) \equiv \zeta_H(a; 1)$ is the Riemann ζ -function. Cutting the integral of

eq.(16) at the upper end we get the estimate

$$\int_{y^{-\alpha}}^{\infty} dt \, t^{s-1} \frac{e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}} \coth\left(\frac{yt}{2}\right) < e^{-y^{-\alpha}} f_2(y) \quad (17)$$

where $f_2(y)$ has a behavior analogous to the one of $f_1(y)$. Now we are allowed to expand $g(s; y) - (2y)^{1-s} \zeta_R(y)$ in power series of y , obtaining

$$g(s; y) = (2y)^{1-s} \zeta_R(s) + \frac{2^{2-s}}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{\mathbf{B}_{2k}}{(2k)!} \Gamma(s-1+2k) \zeta_R(s-1+2k) y^{2k} + O(y^{2N+2}) \quad (18)$$

We have performed all of the calculations necessary to gain an expression of the effective lagrangean for massive and massless QED in an asymptotic series of $|E/B|$: now we will show how these corrections to the $E = 0$ case work, paying special attention to the rate of production of fermion-antifermion pairs and to the behavior under parity of the massless effective theory. To this aim, it will be necessary to obtain the effective lagrangean in minkowskian space-time. First of all, we will analyse the "unperturbed" problem ($E = 0$ or, equivalently, $B = 0$): in this limit the effective lagrangean can be found in [4], but in that work the transition to the Lorentz metric is not considered. Denoting by $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ the minkowskian electric and magnetic field strengths respectively (whereas in euclidean space we used the symbols E and B), the transition to the Minkowski space-time is performed by means of the substitution

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Eff}}^{\text{M}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) = -\mathcal{L}_{\text{Eff}}^{\text{E}}(E = -i\mathcal{E}, B = \mathcal{B}) \quad (19)$$

where the superscripts E and M mean, obviously, euclidean and minkowskian metric.

If $\mathcal{E} = 0$ the effective theory in Minkowski space does not give any new information, being trivially $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Eff}}^{\text{M}}(\mathcal{B}) = -\mathcal{L}_{\text{Eff}}^{\text{E}}(B = \mathcal{B})$. It is much more interesting to analyse the case $\mathcal{B} = 0$, since, from eq.(5.1.8) of ref.[4], the effective lagrangean is obtained to be

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Eff}}^{\text{M}}(\mathcal{E}, 0) = \frac{\mathcal{E}^2}{2} - \frac{e^2 \mathcal{E}^2}{2\pi^2} \left\{ \left[1 - \log\left(-\frac{2ie\mathcal{E}}{\mu^2}\right) \right] \zeta_H\left(-1; 1 + i\frac{m^2}{2e\mathcal{E}}\right) + \zeta'_H\left(-1; 1 + i\frac{m^2}{2e\mathcal{E}}\right) \right\} + i\frac{m^2 e \mathcal{E}}{8\pi^2} \left[\log \frac{m^2}{\mu^2} - 1 \right] \quad (20)$$

and turns out to have a nonvanishing imaginary part. The imaginary (absorptive) part is interpreted as half of the probability of generation of fermion-

antifermion pairs per unit of space-time (see also [6], chapt. 4-3). The value of this probability cannot be easily obtained from eq.(20), because it is quite hard to evaluate the real and the imaginary part of the Hurwitz ζ function of complex argument. On the other hand, in [4] both the strong ($E \gg m^2$) and the weak field limits of the effective lagrangean are exhibited in a form which does not contain the Hurwitz special function: so, after having performed the transition to the minkowskian space-time, we can write explicitly the strong- and weak- field approximations of the rate of pair creation in a purely electric uniform field. The exact result is given (in implicit form) in ref. [6], so that we can recover the expressions given by Blau *et al.* and check the validity of eq.(19) we used to "Wick-rotate" the electromagnetic field: the exact pair production rate per unit space-time is ([6], par. 4-3-3)

$$w = \frac{e^2 \mathcal{E}^2}{4\pi^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \exp\left\{-n \frac{\pi m^2}{e\mathcal{E}}\right\} \quad (21)$$

From the expressions of [4] we have that the weak-field expansion gives $w = 0$: this fact is in agreement with eq.(21), which shows that the function $w(\mathcal{E})$ is not analytic in $\mathcal{E} = 0$. On the other hand, for strong fields we get

$$w = \frac{e^2 \mathcal{E}^2}{24\pi} - \frac{m^2 e \mathcal{E}}{4\pi^2} \left[\log\left(\frac{e\mathcal{E}}{\pi m^2}\right) + 1 \right] - \frac{m^4}{16\pi} + m^4 O\left(\frac{\pi m^2}{e\mathcal{E}}\right) \quad (22)$$

By comparison of eqs.(21) and (22), we get the estimate

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} e^{-nx} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - x + x \log x - \frac{x^2}{4} + O(x^3) \quad (23)$$

that can be verified numerically, showing that eq.(22) gives indeed the correct strong-field limit of the exact expression (21).

Eq.(23) is not a new result, as it merely follows from the correspondences used to get the minkowskian effective lagrangean. Now, with the aid of eq.(13), we will obtain the corrections to the rate w due to the presence of a uniform magnetic field parallel to the electric one and much weaker than the latter. We find that the first correction (order of $(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{E})^2$) to the $\mathcal{B} = 0$ effective lagrangean is

$$\delta^{(2)} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Eff}}^{\text{M}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{e^2 \mathcal{B}^2}{24\pi^2} \left[\psi\left(i \frac{m^2}{2e\mathcal{E}}\right) + \log\left(-i \frac{2e\mathcal{E}}{\mu^2}\right) - i \frac{e\mathcal{E}}{m^2} \right] \quad (24)$$

where $\psi(x) \equiv d \log \Gamma(x) / dx$.

An explicit form for the imaginary part of eq.(24) can be achieved by means of the inversion formula [7] for the ψ -function

$$\psi(z) - \psi(-z) = -\pi \cot(\pi z) - \frac{1}{z} \quad (25)$$

so we get

$$\text{Im } \delta^{(2)} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Eff}} = \frac{e^2 \mathcal{B}^2}{24\pi} \frac{1}{e^{(\pi m^2)/(e\mathcal{E})} - 1} \quad (26)$$

From eqs.(21) and (26) we can compute exactly the total rate of production of fermion-antifermion pairs in a uniform electromagnetic field: we obtain (at $O(\mathcal{B}^2/\mathcal{E}^2)$)

$$w = \frac{e^2 \mathcal{E}^2}{4\pi^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{\mathcal{B}^2}{\mathcal{E}^2} \right] \exp \left\{ -n \frac{\pi m^2}{e\mathcal{E}} \right\} + O\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}^4}{\mathcal{E}^4}\right) \quad (27)$$

It is worth noticing that neither eq.(21) nor eq.(26) are analytic in $\mathcal{E} = 0$, owing to the non-perturbative character of this phenomenon.

According to a *naïve* interpretation, the phenomenon of particle production in external electromagnetic fields is due to the fact that virtual pairs created (and, in the absence of the external field, annihilated) in the vacuum, are accelerated by the electric field and may gain energy enough to reach the threshold (i.e. the fermion mass) and become physical particles. Obviously, the more the electric field is strong, the more pairs are generated. On the other hand, electrically charged particles do not acquire energy from a magnetic field, so that, in the light of the interpretation we have sketched above, the magnetic field should not give any contribution to the rate w . Thus, it is rather surprising that a (weak) magnetic field gives itself a contribution to the rate of pair creation, as we have shown in eq. (25). Nevertheless, it is possible to check that the corrections we have obtained to the $\mathcal{B} = 0$ case are the correct ones: considering also the second term (of order $(\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{E})^4$) to the "unperturbed" effective lagrangean and retaining only the first terms in the expansion for weak fields, we get the effective lagrangean in the limit $|e\mathcal{B}| \ll |e\mathcal{E}| \ll m^2/2$:

$$\delta^{(4)} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Eff}}^{\text{M}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq \frac{e^4}{16\pi^2} \frac{2}{45m^4} [\mathcal{E}^4 + \mathcal{B}^4 + 5\mathcal{E}^2 \mathcal{B}^2] + \frac{e^2}{24\pi^2} \mathcal{B}^2 \log \frac{m^2}{\mu^2} \quad (28)$$

which is in perfect agreement with the Euler-Heisenberg effective lagrangean [1], after setting the arbitrary scale μ at the main scale m of the problem.

A paper is recently appeared [8], in which the effective lagrangean of massive QED is obtained within the same approximations of this letter: the correc-

tions to the $\vec{\mathcal{E}} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{B}}$ case shown in [8] are (apart from some monomial terms coming from a different normalization) the same that can be derived from eq.(7). The agreement with this result confirms that the effective lagrangean consistently leads to the picture that the rate of pair production does really take a contribution from the magnetic component.

In conclusion, we notice that the effective lagrangean, when expressed as a power series of $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{B}$, is real (in fact, only even powers of y appear in eq.(13)). As usual, anyway, we cannot exclude that an imaginary contribution, exponentially small when $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$, indeed exists: according to the *naïve* interpretation we gave, such a contribution should really exist, even if we have not still been able to achieve a proof of its presence.

After having examined the massive theory, taking special care to the rate of particle production, and bearing in mind that we could extract from eq.(13) the effective lagrangean for massive QED to any order in $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{B}$ or $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{E}$ we turn our attention to the massless theory.

First, we would like to notice that the most characteristic feature of the massless case is encoded in the first term of the rhs of eq. (18), which appears to be an effect of infrared regularization from the ζ -function technique. It is easy to obtain, from eqs. (14) and (18), the effective euclidean lagrangean in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Eff}}^E = & -\frac{e^2 E^2}{8\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \frac{B^2}{E^2} \right) \log \frac{2|eE|}{\mu^2} \right. \\ & + 4\zeta'_R(-1) - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\gamma_E B^2}{3E^2} + \\ & \left. - \left| \frac{B}{E} \right| \log \frac{|eB|}{\pi\mu^2} \right\} + O\left(\left(\frac{B}{E}\right)^4\right) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where the first and the third terms are the relevant ones, whereas the second one may always be subtracted after redefinition of the effective action up to polynomials in the electric and magnetic fields. The above expression turns out to be real, which in fact suggests that the corresponding minkowskian quantity has to exhibit parity invariance. However, owing to our specific (and frame-dependent) choice of the field variables, an ambiguity concerning the Wick rotation seems to arise, due to the presence of the absolute value of E . This, however, is purely artificial because it is always possible to choose E positive, after suitable frame rotation - we recall that our field configuration is that of parallel electric and magnetic fields of different strengths. Moreover, the very same Wick rotation actually generates a nontrivial imaginary part in the Minkowskian effective action (just like in the massive case - see eqs. (20) and (26)). The outcome that the corresponding pair creation rate is positive - i.e. the probability density to be within zero and one - indeed exhibits the

absence of any ambiguity.

In particular, we find (up to polynomials in $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{B}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Eff}}^{\text{M}} = & \frac{\mathcal{E}^\epsilon}{2} - \frac{\mathcal{B}^\epsilon}{2} + e^2 \frac{\mathcal{E}^2 - \mathcal{B}^2}{24\pi^2} \left\{ \left[1 - \log \frac{|2e\mathcal{E}|}{\mu^2} + i\frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right. \\ & \left. + i\frac{e^2}{3} |\mathcal{E}\mathcal{B}| \log \left(\frac{|e\mathcal{B}|}{\pi\mu^2} \right) \right\} + O\left(\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{E}}\right)^4\right) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

from which it follows, as expected, that the real part of the effective lagrangean as well as the pair creation rate are both parity invariant.

To sum up, we have obtained some new expressions for the QED effective action in the presence of general uniform fields which extend the ones given in the literature, both in theories with massive and massless Fermi particles. Concerning the physical consequences, we focused our attention onto the rate of pairs production in a uniform electromagnetic field both in the massive and in the massless theory. For these reasons we think it is worth studying in a more complete way the effective theory of massless QED.

References

- [1] H. Euler and W. Heisenberg, *Z. Phys.* **98** (1936), 714
- [2] J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **82** (1951), 664
- [3] S.W. Hawking, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **55** (1977), 133
- [4] S.K. Blau, M. Visser and A. Wipf, *Int. J. Mod. Phys* **A6** (1991), 5409
- [5] A. Bassetto, *Phys. Lett.* **B222** (1989), 443
- [6] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, "*Quantum field theory*", McGraw-Hill (New York, 1980)
- [7] "*The Bateman manuscript project: higher transcendental functions*", eds. A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F.G. Tricomi, McGraw-Hill (New York, 1953-1955)
- [8] J.S. Heyl and L. Hernquist, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997), 2449